Naomi Burton July 20, 2022

IN THE UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRA	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT L DISTRICT OF UTAH
	000-
OL Private Counsel, LLC,)
Plaintiff,))Civil No: 2:21-CV-00455-DDB-DAO
v.)Judge David Barlow)
Ephraim Olson,))
Defendant.) _)

DEPOSITION OF NAOMI BURTON

TAKEN THROUGH ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS

Wednesday, July 20, 2022 9:06 a.m. to 3:19 p.m.

Foley & Lardner 299 South Main Street Suite 2000 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Reported by: Kimberly A. Harmon, RPR, CRR, CSR

ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS (801)691-1000

of 4 Naomi Burton July 20, 2022

Pages 142..145

	July 20), Z(D22 Pages 142145
1	Page 142 involves?	1	Page 144
2	A. It's the shareholder a shareholder of	1 2	Q. Are you familiar with that entity? A. I think it became I believe it became
		-	
3	Bison. It is about getting documents and financial	3	Waterton Land Trust Ltd., which I was a director of.
4	statements for the corporation and other oppression actions that have been taken.	4	Q. Which you were a director of; is that right?
5		5	A. (Witness nods head.)
6	Q. And you filed that action; is that correct? A. Yes. I did.	6	Q. What was the purposes of this trust?
7		7	A. It was family assets.
8	Q. And who represents you in that case?	8	Q. What does that mean?
9	A. Dave Hill and Brett Stytle. Or	9	A. Things that were for the family. Like, I
10	Q. And where sorry.	10	believe it holds a ranch, and that was that my dad
11	A. Syt I Sytle or Stytle. I can't	11	bought, and I think he put it in a trust so that it
12	Q. And have you discussed that case with	12	wouldn't be taxed or something like that. But it was
13	•	13	our family ranch.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Are there any other purposes other than
15	Q. Okay. What have you discussed with Ephraim	15	holding assets for that trust?
16	about the oppression action about the Bison	16	A. Not that I know of.
17	Conservation Ranch?	17	Q. And and you were the director of the
18	A. That I was going to file it.	l	•
19	Q. Did he give you advice about filing it?	19	A. I believe I was the director of the company
20	A. No.	20	that was the trustee.
21	Q. Did he provide any information, whether	21	Q. Are the assets of this trust matrimonial
22	•	22	property?
23	the oppression actions?	23	MR. HOFFMAN: Objection. Legal conclusion.
24	A. No.	24	3
25	Q. Did Carolyn provide you with any documents	25	///
\vdash	Page 143		
١.		١.	Page 145
1	or information for that oppression action?		BY MS. CALL:
2	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No.	2	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term.
2 3	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's	2	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you
2 3 4	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for	2 3 4	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint.
2 3 4 5	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action?	2 3 4 5	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection.
2 3 4 5 6	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know.	2 3 4 5 6	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the
2 3 4 5 6 7	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6? A. 278. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a marriage. This was purchased, I think, in 2004 or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6? A. 278. Yes. Q. And what is this document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a marriage. This was purchased, I think, in 2004 or 2005, and my parents were married at the time, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6? A. 278. Yes. Q. And what is this document? A. I believe it's the document sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a marriage. This was purchased, I think, in 2004 or 2005, and my parents were married at the time, so I for those reasons, I believe it's marital
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6? A. 278. Yes. Q. And what is this document? A. I believe it's the document sorry. It's I think it's the Waterton Land Trust	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a marriage. This was purchased, I think, in 2004 or 2005, and my parents were married at the time, so I for those reasons, I believe it's marital property. And it was purchased as a kid, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6? A. 278. Yes. Q. And what is this document? A. I believe it's the document sorry. It's I think it's the Waterton Land Trust document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a marriage. This was purchased, I think, in 2004 or 2005, and my parents were married at the time, so I for those reasons, I believe it's marital property. And it was purchased as a kid, I remember it was purchased as our family ranch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6? A. 278. Yes. Q. And what is this document? A. I believe it's the document sorry. It's I think it's the Waterton Land Trust document. Q. On that first page, 278, it recognizes the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a marriage. This was purchased, I think, in 2004 or 2005, and my parents were married at the time, so I for those reasons, I believe it's marital property. And it was purchased as a kid, I remember it was purchased as our family ranch. Q. What were some of the duties that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6? A. 278. Yes. Q. And what is this document? A. I believe it's the document sorry. It's I think it's the Waterton Land Trust document. Q. On that first page, 278, it recognizes the original trustee as an entity called 1030911 Alberta	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a marriage. This was purchased, I think, in 2004 or 2005, and my parents were married at the time, so I for those reasons, I believe it's marital property. And it was purchased as a kid, I remember it was purchased as our family ranch. Q. What were some of the duties that you performed as a director for the entity that was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6? A. 278. Yes. Q. And what is this document? A. I believe it's the document sorry. It's I think it's the Waterton Land Trust document. Q. On that first page, 278, it recognizes the original trustee as an entity called 1030911 Alberta LTD.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a marriage. This was purchased, I think, in 2004 or 2005, and my parents were married at the time, so I for those reasons, I believe it's marital property. And it was purchased as a kid, I remember it was purchased as our family ranch. Q. What were some of the duties that you performed as a director for the entity that was the trustee for the Waterton Land Trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or information for that oppression action? A. No. No. Q. Do you know whether Ephraim or Carolyn's lawyers provided any information to your lawyers for that oppression action? A. I do not know. Q. Are you familiar with the Waterton Land Trust? A. Yes. Q. I think the easiest place for us to look at this is to go back to Exhibit 2. Or wait. No, that's 6, I think; right? Let's go back to Exhibit 6. Page 278. Do you recognize this document at page 278 of Exhibit 6? A. 278. Yes. Q. And what is this document? A. I believe it's the document sorry. It's I think it's the Waterton Land Trust document. Q. On that first page, 278, it recognizes the original trustee as an entity called 1030911 Alberta	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. CALL: Q. I'm going to use a different term. Are they marital assets? That's a term you used in your Manitoba legal society complaint. MR. HOFFMAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I would consider them for the same reasons. They were the money used to purchase them was acquired during the time that my parents were married. BY MS. CALL: Q. And who explained the marital that the assets of this trust were marital assets to you? A. I don't recall who explained to me what marital property means, but it was explained to me that it was any anything that was accrued during a marriage. This was purchased, I think, in 2004 or 2005, and my parents were married at the time, so I for those reasons, I believe it's marital property. And it was purchased as a kid, I remember it was purchased as our family ranch. Q. What were some of the duties that you performed as a director for the entity that was the

Naomi Burton July 20, 2022

Pages 146..149

July	20, 2022 Pages 146149
Page 14 1 for a corporate entity that was the trustee for the	Page 148 1 Q. So it was attached to a filing in opposition
2 trust?	2 to your motion to join?
3 A. I don't recall.	3 A. I believe so.
4 Q. Can you recall any duty that you would hav	
5 performed in that capacity?	5 the Waterton Land Trust?
6 A. I don't remember.	6 A. In response to a different suit, I think
	7 there's, like, a DocuSign a supposed DocuSign of 8 that resolution. But all of it would be in I
8 about the Waterton Land Trust?	9 don't know exactly which documents have been provided
9 A. The corporation or the trust? 10 Q. The trust.	
	10 in in that legal litigation. I think those are 11 the ones I can remember.
12 resolution a trustee resolution, I think it's	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
13 called.	13 there, I think you're referencing the case you
14 Q. Who did you receive the trust instrument	14 brought against Mr. Lemons; is that correct?
15 from?	15 A. Yes.
16 A. My lawyer.	16 Q. Have you received documents about the
17 Q. Who did your lawyer receive it from?	17 Waterton Land Trust Ltd., the trustee for the trust?
MR. HOFFMAN: Objection. Foundation.	18 A. I received the resignation document.
19 THE WITNESS: I don't know.	19 Q. Any other documents you've received?
20 BY MS. CALL:	20 A. Not that I recall.
21 Q. Your lawyer just appeared one day with a	21 Q. And the resignation document, that was the
22 trust document?	22 one sent to you that we looked we looked at the
MR. HOFFMAN: Objection to the extent you're	
24 asking for attorney-client communications.	24 resignation; correct?
25 ///	25 A. Correct.
Page 14 1 BY MS. CALL:	Page 149 1 Q. How did you learn of your removal as trustee
2 Q. I don't want to know what your lawyer told	2 of the Waterton Land Trust LTD? Or I'm sorry.
3 you. I just want to know where the document came	3 How did you learn of your removal as a
4 from. That's not privileged.	4 beneficiary of the Waterton Land Trust?
5 MR. HOFFMAN: Well	5 A. I think my mom mentioned it.
6 THE WITNESS: I don't know.	6 Q. Do you recall when?
7 BY MS. CALL:	7 A. Idon't.
8 Q. And then you mentioned you also received a	8 Q. How did she know?
9 resolution.	9 MR. HOFFMAN: Object to foundation.
10 Who did you receive the resolution from?	10 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
11 A. My lawyer.	11 BY MS. CALL:
12 Q. And do you know where that came from?	12 Q. She didn't tell you where she learned that
13 MR. HOFFMAN: To the extent that you have to	13 information?
14 rev reveal attorney-client privileged	14 A. Not that I recall.
15 communications to answer the question, I instruct you	15 Q. How did the topic come up? Why did she tell
16 not to do that. But if you can answer it based on	16
17 nonprivileged information, go ahead.	16 you?
	17 A. I think she found it surprising.
18 BY MS. CALL:	-
	A. I think she found it surprising.
18 BY MS. CALL:	 17 A. I think she found it surprising. 18 Q. And she didn't she found it surprising, 19 and she didn't tell you where she got the
18 BY MS. CALL: 19 Q. So I don't want you advice your lawyer	17 A. I think she found it surprising. 18 Q. And she didn't she found it surprising, 19 and she didn't tell you where she got the 20 information?
 18 BY MS. CALL: 19 Q. So I don't want you advice your lawyer 20 gave you or any information you told your lawyer, but 	17 A. I think she found it surprising. 18 Q. And she didn't she found it surprising, 19 and she didn't tell you where she got the 20 information?
18 BY MS. CALL: 19 Q. So I don't want you advice your lawyer 20 gave you or any information you told your lawyer, bu 21 where a document came from, that's that's what I'r	17 A. I think she found it surprising. 18 Q. And she didn't she found it surprising, 19 and she didn't tell you where she got the 20 information? 21 A. I don't know exactly.
18 BY MS. CALL: 19 Q. So I don't want you advice your lawyer 20 gave you or any information you told your lawyer, bu 21 where a document came from, that's that's what I'r 22 interested in, is whether you know where that	17 A. I think she found it surprising. 18 Q. And she didn't she found it surprising, 19 and she didn't tell you where she got the 20 information? 21 A. I don't know exactly. 22 Q. Did you ask her? 23 A. I think it had something to do in the case. 24 Q. Which case?
18 BY MS. CALL: 19 Q. So I don't want you advice your lawyer 20 gave you or any information you told your lawyer, bu 21 where a document came from, that's that's what I'r 22 interested in, is whether you know where that 23 document came from.	17 A. I think she found it surprising. 18 Q. And she didn't she found it surprising, 19 and she didn't tell you where she got the 10 information? 11 A. I don't know exactly. 12 Q. Did you ask her? 13 A. I think it had something to do in the case.

Naomi Burton July 20, 2022

Pages 150..153

	July 20	, 20	D22 Pages 150153
1	Page 150	1	Page 152 bolded sentence with the letter A in front of it.
1	Q. You think she learned of your removal as	2	
1	trustee through the divorce or as beneficiary	3	Do you see that? A. Yes.
3	through the divorce? A. I believe so.	4	
5		5	Q. And that says that the table below well,
	Q. Is that what she told you? A. I don't remember.	-	I'll represent to you that the table below is below
6	Q. Did she provide you with the resolution	6	this language. It states:
8	documenting your removal?	8	"The name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to
9	A. No.	9	have discoverable information - along with
10	Q. When did you see that resolution for the	10	the subjects of that information - that the
11	first time?	11	disclosing party may use to support its
12	A. I believe it was September 2021.	12	claims or defenses, unless the use would be
13	Q. And who provided it to you?	13	solely for impeachment."
14	A. My lawyer.	14	And then if you look at page 4, the last
15	Q. Your lawyer in which action?	15	name in that table is yours.
16	A. Steve Mayfield. In my request to intervene.	16	Do you see that?
17	Q. In the divorce case?	17	A. Yes. Sorry. I don't quite understand what
18	A. Yeah. I believe it was also provided to my	18	this what am I looking at?
19	Canadian counsel, Jake Maslowski.	19	Q. So this is a fi a a discovery
20	Q. When you were serving as a director for the	20	document served by Ephraim's counsel in this case.
21	Waterton Land LTD in its capacity as trustee for the	21	A. Okay.
22	Waterton Land Trust, what tax returns were filed?	22	Q. Have you seen this before? I should have
23	A. I don't recall.	23	asked you that to start with. No?
24	Q. Did you ever know that information?	24	A. No.
25	A. Not that I can remember.	25	Q. Okay. So here, Ephraim's counsel, in the
1	Page 151 Q. Did you request documents related to the	1	Page 153
1 2	Q. Did you request documents related to the	1 2	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable
2	Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust?	2	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says:
2 3	Q. Did you request documents related to the	2	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding
2 3 4	Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When?	2 3 4	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's
2 3	Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did.	2	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on
2 3 4 5	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. 	2 3 4 5 6	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court."
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request 	2 3 4 5	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask 	2 3 4 5 6 7	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included with the subpoena? There was something included in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I also received the Bison Conservation Ranch's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I also received the Bison Conservation Ranch's incorporation document in conjuncture with that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included with the subpoena? There was something included in the document subpoena, and I think that was if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I also received the Bison Conservation Ranch's incorporation document in conjuncture with that request.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included with the subpoena? There was something included in the document subpoena, and I think that was if that was the answer or the counterclaim. You would know that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I also received the Bison Conservation Ranch's incorporation document in conjuncture with that request. MS. CALL: We'll mark this as 9. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included with the subpoena? There was something included in the document subpoena, and I think that was if that was the answer or the counterclaim. You would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I also received the Bison Conservation Ranch's incorporation document in conjuncture with that request. MS. CALL: We'll mark this as 9. (Exhibit 9 was marked for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included with the subpoena? There was something included in the document subpoena, and I think that was if that was the answer or the counterclaim. You would know that. Q. Did you did you review the counterclaim?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I also received the Bison Conservation Ranch's incorporation document in conjuncture with that request. MS. CALL: We'll mark this as 9. (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included with the subpoena? There was something included in the document subpoena, and I think that was if that was the answer or the counterclaim. You would know that. Q. Did you did you review the counterclaim? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I also received the Bison Conservation Ranch's incorporation document in conjuncture with that request. MS. CALL: We'll mark this as 9. (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CALL: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included with the subpoena? There was something included in the document subpoena, and I think that was if that was the answer or the counterclaim. You would know that. Q. Did you did you review the counterclaim? A. Yes. Q. And are you or do you have information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I also received the Bison Conservation Ranch's incorporation document in conjuncture with that request. MS. CALL: We'll mark this as 9. (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CALL: Q. I'll represent to you that these are initial 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included with the subpoena? There was something included in the document subpoena, and I think that was if that was the answer or the counterclaim. You would know that. Q. Did you did you review the counterclaim? A. Yes. Q. And are you or do you have information related to Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Did you request documents related to the Waterton Land Trust? A. I believe I did. Q. When? A. I believe it was with my original request that Jakub Maslowski sent. Q. Did you get the documents you requested? A. No, I didn't. I got a few documents. Q. Have you ever heard well, let me just ask you what documents you received. A. I believe I received the trust instrument for OMCT, some trial balances prepared by my dad's law firm, and a number of tax returns. Some were signed, some were unsigned. And at some point, I also received the Bison Conservation Ranch's incorporation document in conjuncture with that request. MS. CALL: We'll mark this as 9. (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.) BY MS. CALL: Q. I'll represent to you that these are initial disclosures provided by Ephraim Olson in this case. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	column that states "Subject Matter of Discoverable Information," for you, it says: "Naomi Burton has information regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims set forth in the pleadings on file with the Court." Do you see that? A. Yeah. Q. Have you ever seen the complaint before today? A. Not that I remember. Q. Have you ever seen Defendant's answer in this case? A. I don't is that the one that was included with the subpoena? There was something included in the document subpoena, and I think that was if that was the answer or the counterclaim. You would know that. Q. Did you did you review the counterclaim? A. Yes. Q. And are you or do you have information related to Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's counterclaims in this case?